# CONNECTEDNESS AND COMPACTNESS VIA INTUITIONISTIC SEMI \* OPEN SETS

### G. ESTHER RATHINAKANI

Research Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu, India. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelvei, Tamilnadu, India. Reg. No. 19222102092011, E-mail: estherrathinakani@gmail.com

### M. NAVANEETHAKRISHNAN

Associate Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu, India. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelvei, Tamilnadu, India. E-mail: navaneethan65@yahoo.co.in

#### Abstract

In this article certain kinds of intuitionistic semi \* connectedness and intuitionistic semi \* compactness are defined in intuitionistic topological space and their characteristics are investigated. Here we introduce intuitionistic semi \* connectedness, intuitionistic semi \*  $C_i$ - connectedness (i = 1,2,3,4,5), intuitionistic semi \* compactness and obtain many properties.

**Index Terms**: intuitionistic semi \* connectedness, intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>i</sub>- connectedness, intuitionistic semi \* compactness intuitionistic semi \* open, intuitionistic semi \* closed, IS\*O, IS\*C.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Atanassov [6] is the person who first presented the idea of intuitionistic set. After that this concept is generalized to intuitionistic sets in [1], [2] and intuitionistic topological spaces in [3]. An idea of intuitionistic connectedness and intuitionistic compactness in intuitionistic topological space is given in [5]. In this article we establish the concepts of intuitionistic semi \* connectedness, intuitionistic semi \*  $C_i$ - connectedness, intuitionistic semi \* compactness, intuitionistic semi \* lindelof spaces. Also we encounter their basic properties and explore their relationship with already existing concepts.

### 2. PRIME NEEDS

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a nonempty fixed set. An intuitionistic set (IS in short)  $\tilde{A}$  is an object having the form  $\tilde{A} = \langle X, {}^{(1)}, {}^{(2)} \rangle$  where  ${}^{(1)}$  and  ${}^{(2)}$  are subsets of X such that  $A^{(1)} \cap A^{(2)} = \emptyset$ . The set  ${}^{(1)}$  is called the set of member of  $\tilde{A}$ , while  $A^{(2)}$  is called the set of non-member of  $\tilde{A}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let X be a non empty set,  $\tilde{A} = \langle X, {}^{(1)}, {}^{(2)} \rangle$  and  $\tilde{B} = \langle X, {}^{(1)}, {}^{(2)} \rangle$  be an IS sets and let {  $\tilde{A}_i : i \in j$ } be arbitrary family of IS, where  $\tilde{A}_i = \langle X, A_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(2)} \rangle$ .

Then the following results are hold.

- i.  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}$  if and only if  $^{(1)} \subseteq ^{(1)}$  and  $^{(2)} \subseteq ^{(2)}$ .
- ii.  $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}$  if and only if  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ .
- iii.  $\overline{\tilde{A}} = \langle X, {}^{(2)}, {}^{(1)} \rangle$  is called the complement of  $\tilde{A}$ . It is aslo denoted by  $X \tilde{A}$ .
- iv.  $\cup \tilde{A}_i = \langle X, \cup A_i^{(1)}, \cap A_i^{(2)} \rangle$ .
- v.  $\cap \tilde{A}_i = \langle X, \cap A_i^{(1)}, \cup A_i^{(2)} \rangle$ .
- vi.  $\tilde{A} \tilde{B} = \tilde{A} \cap \overline{\tilde{B}}$ .
- vii.  $\widetilde{\emptyset}_{I} = \langle X, \emptyset, X \rangle$  and  $\widetilde{X}_{I} = \langle X, X, \emptyset \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.3.** An intuitionistic topology (IT in short) by subsets of a nonempty set X is a family  $\tau$  of IS's satisfying the following axioms.

- (a)  $\widetilde{\emptyset}_{I}$ ,  $\widetilde{X}_{I} \in \tau$
- (b)  $\tilde{G}_1 \cap \tilde{G}_2 \in \tau$  for every  $\tilde{G}_1$ ,  $\tilde{G}_2 \in \tau$
- (c)  $\cup \tilde{G}_i \in \tau$  for any arbitrary family {  $\tilde{G}_i : i \in J$ }  $\subseteq \tau$ .

The pair (*X*,  $\tau$ ) is called an intuitionistic topological space (ITS in short) and any IS  $\tilde{A}$  in  $\tau$  is called an intuitionistic open set (IOS). The complement of an IOS  $\tilde{A}$  in  $\tau$  is called an intuitionistic closed set (ICS)

**Definition 2.4.** Let X be a nonempty set and  $p \in X$  be a fixed element. Then the IS  $\tilde{p}_i$  (resp.  $p_{iV}$ ) defined by  $\tilde{p}_i = \langle X, \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$  (resp.  $\tilde{p}_{iV} = \langle X, \emptyset, \{p\}^c \rangle$  is called an intuitionistic point (resp. intuitionistic vanishing point).

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{A} = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$  be an IS in X,  $\tilde{A}$  is said to be intuitionistic generalized closed set (briefly Ig – closed set) Icl( $\tilde{A}$ )  $\subseteq \tilde{U}$  whenever  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{U}$  is IO in X.

**Definition 2.6.** If  $\widetilde{A}$  is an IS of an ITS (X,  $\tau$ ), then the intuitionistic generalized closure of  $\widetilde{A}$  is is denoted by Icl<sup>\*</sup>( $\widetilde{A}$ ) and is defined as Icl<sup>\*</sup>( $\widetilde{A}$ ) = { $\widetilde{E}$  :  $\widetilde{E}$  is Ig – closed set and  $\widetilde{A} \subseteq \widetilde{E}$  }.

### Definition 2.7.

- i. Intuitionistic semi \* open sets if there is an intuitionistic open set  $\widetilde{G}$  in X such that  $\widetilde{G} \subseteq \widetilde{A} \subseteq Icl^*(\widetilde{G})$ .
- ii. intuitionistic semi \* closed set if X Ã is intuitionistic semi \* open.

**Definition 2.8.** The intuitionistic semi \* interior of  $\widetilde{A}$  is defined as the union of all intuitionistic semi \* open sets of X contained in  $\widetilde{A}$ . It is denoted by IS\*int ( $\widetilde{A}$ ).

**Definition 2.9.** The semi \* closure of an IS  $\tilde{A}$  is defined as the intersection of all intuitionistic semi \* closed sets in X that containing  $\tilde{A}$ . It is denoted by IS\*cl( $\tilde{A}$ ).

## **Theorem 2.10.** Let $(X, \tau_I)$ be an ITS and $\widetilde{A}$ be any ITS. Then

- i.  $\widetilde{A}$  is intuitionistic semi \* regular if and only if IS \*Fr( $\widetilde{A}$ )=  $\widetilde{\varphi}_{I}$ .
- ii.  $IS * Fr(\widetilde{A}) = IS * cl(\widetilde{A}) \cap IS * cl(X \widetilde{A}).$

**Definition 2.11.** The function f:  $(X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is said to be intuitionistic semi \* continuous (summarizing IS\*-Cts) if  $f^{-1}(\widetilde{U})$  is IS\*O in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every IOS  $\widetilde{U}$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 2.12.** Two IS's  $\tilde{E}$  and  $\tilde{F}$  are said to be overlapping if  $\tilde{E} \not\subseteq X - \tilde{F}$ . Conversely  $\tilde{E}$  and  $\tilde{F}$  are said to be nonoverlapping, if  $\tilde{E} \subseteq X - \tilde{F}$ . Notice that  $\tilde{E} \not\subseteq X - \tilde{F}$  if and only if  $E^{(1)} \not\subseteq F^{(1)}$  or  $\tilde{E}^{(1)} \not\supseteq F^{(2)}$ .

### 3. INTUITIONISTIC SEMI \* CONNECTED

**Definition 3.1.** An ITS (X,  $\tau$ ) is said to be an intuitionistic semi \* connected if  $\widetilde{X}_{I}$  cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint nonempty IS\*O sets in X.

Theorem 3.2. Every intuitionistic semi \* connected is intuitionistic connected.

**Proof.** Let X be an intuitionistic semi \* connected. To prove X is an intuitionistic connected. Suppose X is not an intuitionistic connected. Then there exist a disjoint nonempty IOS  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\tilde{X_I} = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$ . Since  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are IOS, both  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are IS\*O. This is a contradiction to X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Hence X is an intuitionistic connected.

**Remark 3.3**. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the succeeding example

**Example 3.4**. Let X = {i, j, k} and  $\tau = {\widetilde{X}_{I}, \widetilde{\emptyset}_{I}, < X, \{j\}, \{i, k\} >, < X, \{i\}, \{j\} >, < X, \{i, j\}, \emptyset >}.$ Then IS\*O(X,  $\tau$ ) = { $\widetilde{X}_{I}, \widetilde{\emptyset}_{I}, < X, \{j\}, \{i, k\} >, < X, \{i\}, \{j\} >, < X, \{i, j\}, \emptyset >, < X, \{i, k\}, \{j\} >}.$ Clearly X is an intuitionistic connected but not an intuitionistic semi \* connected.

Theorem 3.5. Every intuitionistic semi connected is intuitionistic semi \* connected.

**Proof.** Let X be an intuitionistic semi connected. To prove X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Suppose X is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Then there exist a disjoint nonempty IS\*O sets  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\hat{X_I} = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$ . Since  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are IS\*O, both  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are ISO sets. This is a contradiction to X is an intuitionistic semi connected. Hence X is an intuitionistic semi \*connected.

**Remark 3.6**. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the succeeding example.

**Example 3.7**. Let  $X = \{i, j, k\}$  and  $\tau = \{X_{I}, \tilde{\emptyset}_{I}, < X, \{i\}, \{j, k\} >, < X, \{k\}, \{i, j\} >, < X, \{i, k\}, \{j\} >\}$ . Then IS\*O(X,  $\tau$ ) =  $\{X_{I}, \tilde{\emptyset}_{I}, < X, \{i\}, \{j, k\} >, < X, \{k\}, \{i, j\} >, < X, \{i, k\}, \{j\} >, < X, \{i\}, \{k\} >, < X, \{k\}, \{i\} >, < X, \{i, k\}, \{j\} >, < X, \{i\}, \{k\} >, < X, \{k\}, \{i\} >, < X, \{i, k\}, \emptyset >\}$ . Then X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected but not an intuitionistic semi connected.

**Theorem 3.8**. An ITS (X,  $\tau$ ) has the only intuitionistic semi \* regular subsets are  $\tilde{\emptyset}_I$  and  $\tilde{X}_I$  itself then (X,  $\tau$ ) is an intuitionistic semi \* connected.

**Proof.** Assume that  $\tilde{\emptyset}_I$  and  $\tilde{X}_I$  are the only intuitionistic semi \* regular subsets of X. To prove X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Suppose X is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Then there exist a disjoint nonempty IS\*O sets  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  such that  $\widetilde{X}_I = \tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{A} = X - \tilde{B}$  is IS\*C. Hence  $\tilde{A}$  is an intuitionistic semi \* regular which is contradiction to our assumption. Hence X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected.

**Theorem 3.9**. An ITS is an intuitionistic semi \* connected if and only if every nonempty proper subsets of X has nonempty intuitionistic semi \* frontier.

**Proof.** Let X be an intuitionistic semi \* connected and  $\tilde{A}$  be any nonempty IS of X. To prove IS\*Fr( $\tilde{A}$ )  $\neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Suppose IS\*Fr( $\tilde{A}$ ) =  $\tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then by theorem 2.10,  $\tilde{A}$  is an intuitionistic semi \* regular. Now by theorem 3.8,  $\tilde{A}$  is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected. This is a contradiction to our hypothesis. Therefore IS\*Fr( $\tilde{A}$ )  $\neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Conversely, assume that  $\tilde{A}$  is any nonempty IS of X such that IS\*Fr( $\tilde{A}$ )  $\neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . To prove X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Suppose X is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Then there exist a nonempty IS\*O sets  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\tilde{X}_I = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{U} = X - \tilde{V}$ . Hence  $\tilde{U}$  is both IS\*O and IS\*C. Therefore by theorem 2.10, IS\*Fr( $\tilde{A}$ ) =  $\tilde{\varphi}_I$  which is a contradiction to our assumption. Thus X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be the two ITS and f:  $X \rightarrow Y$  be the surjection map, intuitionistic semi \* continuous and X be an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Then Y is an intuitionistic semi \* connected.

Proof. Let f: X  $\rightarrow$  Y be the surjection, intuitionistic semi \* continuous and X be an intuitionistic semi \* connected. Assume that Y is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected thats lead us to there exist a disjoint nonempty IS\*O sets  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\tilde{Y}_I = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$ . Since f is an IS\*-Cts,  $f^{-1}(\tilde{U})$  and  $f^{-1}(\tilde{V})$  is IS\*O in X. Since  $\tilde{U} \neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$ ,  $f^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $f^{-1}(\tilde{V}) \neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . We have  $\tilde{Y}_I = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  implies  $f^{-1}(\tilde{Y}_I) = f^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \cup f^{-1}(\tilde{V})$ . Therefore  $\tilde{X}_I = f^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \cup f^{-1}(\tilde{V})$  and  $f^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \cap f^{-1}(\tilde{V}) = f^{-1}(\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\varphi}_I) = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Therefore (X, T<sub>1</sub>) is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected. This is a contradiction to our hypothesis. Hence (Y, T<sub>2</sub>) is an intuitionistic semi \* connected.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be the two ITS and f:  $X \rightarrow Y$  be an injection map, IPS\*O and IPS\*C. If Y is an intuitionistic semi \* connected, then X is an intuitionistic semi \* connected.

**Proof.** Assume (X,  $\tau_1$ ) is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected thats lead us to there exist a nonvoid IS\*O sets  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\tilde{Y}_I = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $\tilde{U} = X - \tilde{V}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{U}$  is both IS\*O and IS\*C in X. We have f: X  $\rightarrow$  Y is both IPS\*O and IPS\*C,  $f^{-1}(\tilde{U})$  is both IS\*O and IS\*C in Y. Therefore by theorem 2.10 IS \* Fr $(f^{-1}(\tilde{U})) = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Thus by theorem 3.9, Y is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected which is contradiction. Hence (X,  $\tau_1$ ) is an intuitionistic semi \* connected. **Theorem 3.12.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be the two ITS and f:  $X \rightarrow Y$  is an IS\*O and IS\*C injection map and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an intuitionistic semi \* connected, then  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an intuitionistic connected.

**Proof.** Assume (X,  $\tau_1$ ) is not an intuitionistic connected thats lead us to there exist a nonempty IO sets  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\tilde{Y}_I = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $\tilde{U} = X - \tilde{V}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{U}$  is both IOS and ICS in X. Then  $\tilde{U}$  is both IS\*O and IS\*C. Since f is both IS\*O and IS\*C,  $f(\tilde{U})$  is an intuitionistic semi \* regular in Y. Therefore by theorem 2.10, IS \*Fr(f( $(\tilde{U})$ ) =  $\tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Thus by theorem 3.9, Y is not an intuitionistic semi \* connected which is contradiction. Thus (X,  $\tau_1$ ) is an intuitionistic connected.

**Definition 3.13.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{U}$  be any IS of X. If there exist IS\*O sets  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  in X satisfying the following properties, then  $\tilde{U}$  is called intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>i</sub>-disconnected.

- (i)  $C_1: \widetilde{U} \subseteq \widetilde{A} \cup \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{A} \cap \widetilde{B} \subseteq X \widetilde{U}, \widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{A} \neq \widetilde{\varphi}_I, \widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{B} \neq \widetilde{\varphi}_I.$
- (ii) C<sub>2</sub>:  $\widetilde{U} \subseteq \widetilde{A} \cup \widetilde{B}$ ,  $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{A} \cap \widetilde{B} = \widetilde{\emptyset}$ ,  $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{A} \neq \widetilde{\emptyset}_I$ ,  $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{B} \neq \widetilde{\emptyset}_I$ .
- (iii) C<sub>3</sub>:  $\tilde{U} \subseteq \tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}, \tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B} \subseteq X \tilde{U}, \tilde{A} \not\subseteq X \tilde{U}, \tilde{B} \not\subseteq X \tilde{U}$ .
- (iv) C<sub>4</sub>:  $\widetilde{U} \subseteq \widetilde{A} \cup \widetilde{B}$ ,  $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{A} \cap \widetilde{B} = \widetilde{\emptyset}$ ,  $\widetilde{A} \subseteq X \widetilde{U}$ ,  $\widetilde{B} \subseteq X \widetilde{U}$ .

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{U}$  be any IS of X. If  $\tilde{U}$  is said to be an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>i</sub>- connected, then  $\tilde{U}$  is not an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>i</sub>- disconnected where i = 1,2,3,4.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  be any two IS of X. If  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  are intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>1</sub>- connected and  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}_I$ , then  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is also an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>1</sub>- connected.

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  be intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>1</sub>- connected. Suppose  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is not an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>1</sub>- connected. Then there exist an IS\*O set  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  such that  $\tilde{U} \cup$  $\tilde{V} \subseteq \tilde{C} \cup \tilde{D}$ ,  $\tilde{C} \cup \tilde{D} \subseteq X - (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V})$ ,  $(\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}) \cap \tilde{C} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}_I$  and  $(\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}) \cap \tilde{D} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}_I$ . Since  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$ are intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>1</sub>- connected,  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  or  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  or  $\tilde{V} \cap$  $\widetilde{V} \neq \widetilde{Q}_I, \quad \widetilde{p}_{IV} \in \widetilde{U}$  $\widetilde{Q}_I$ . Since  $\widetilde{U}$   $\cap$ Đ =  $\cap$ Ñ. **Case (i)** Let  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $(\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{C}) \cup (\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C}) = \tilde{\varphi}_I \Rightarrow (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}) \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . which is a contradiction. **Case (ii)** Let  $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{D} = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\widetilde{V} \cap \widetilde{D} = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $(\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{D}) \cup (\widetilde{V} \cap \widetilde{D})$  $\widetilde{D}$ ) =  $\widetilde{\varphi}_I \Rightarrow (\widetilde{U} \cup \widetilde{V}) \cap \widetilde{D} = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$  which is a contradiction. **Case (iii)** Let  $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{C} = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\widetilde{V} \cap$  $\widetilde{D} = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $\widetilde{p}_{IV} \notin \widetilde{C}$  and  $\widetilde{p}_{IV} \notin \widetilde{D}$ . This is impossible because  $\widetilde{p}_{IV} \in \widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{V} \subseteq \widetilde{C} \cup \widetilde{D}$ . **Case** (iv) Let  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . This case is similar to case (iii). Hence from the above four cases  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>1</sub>- connected.

**Theorem 3.16**. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  be any two IS of X. If  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  are intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>2</sub>- connected and  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} \neq \tilde{\varphi}_I$ , then  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is also an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>2</sub>- connected.

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  be intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>2</sub>- connected. Suppose  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is not an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>2</sub>- connected. Then there exist an IS\*O set  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  such that  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  $\subseteq \tilde{C} \cup \tilde{D} , (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}) \cap \tilde{C} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I , (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}) \cap \tilde{C} \neq \tilde{\varphi}_I \text{ and } (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}) \cap \tilde{D} \neq \tilde{\varphi}_I. \text{ Since } \tilde{U} \text{ and } \tilde{V}$ are intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>2</sub>- connected,  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  or  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  or  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{D}$ õ, Since Ũ  $\cap$  $\tilde{V} \neq$ *φ*<sub>1</sub>, Ñ. =  $\tilde{p}_{\rm IV}$ E ĨĨ  $\cap$ **Case (i)** Let  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $(\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{C}) \cup (\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C}) = \tilde{\varphi}_I \Rightarrow (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}) \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ which is a contradiction. **Case (ii)** Let  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $(\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D}) \cup (\tilde{V})$  $(\widetilde{D}) = \widetilde{\varphi}_I \Rightarrow (\widetilde{U} \cup \widetilde{V}) \cap \widetilde{D} = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$  which is a contradiction. **Case (iii)** Let  $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{C} = \widetilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . Then  $\tilde{p}_{V} \notin \tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{p}_{V} \notin \tilde{D}$ . This is impossible because  $\tilde{p}_{V} \in \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} \subseteq \tilde{C} \cup \tilde{D}$ . **Case (iv)** Let  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$  and  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{C} = \tilde{\varphi}_I$ . This case is similar to case (iii). Hence from the above four cases  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>2</sub>- connected.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  be any two IS of X. If  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are overlapping intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>3</sub>- connected, then  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is also an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>3</sub>- connected.

**Proof.** Assume  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is not an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>3</sub>- connected thats lead us to there exist and IS\*O sets  $\tilde{E}$  and  $\tilde{F}$  such that  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V} \subseteq \tilde{E} \cup \tilde{F}$ ,  $\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{F} \subseteq X - (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}), \tilde{E} \not\subseteq X - (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V})$  $\tilde{V}$ ),  $\tilde{F} \not\subseteq X - (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V})$ . Since  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>3</sub>- connected,  $\tilde{E} \subseteq X - \tilde{U}$  or  $\tilde{F} \subseteq X - \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{E} \subseteq X - \tilde{V}$  or  $\tilde{F} \subseteq X - \tilde{V}$ . Also by hypothesis  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are overlapping, there is a point p,  $(\tilde{p}_1 \in \tilde{U}, \tilde{p}_{1\vee} \in \tilde{V})$  or there is a point q,  $(\tilde{q}_1 \in \tilde{V}, \tilde{q}_{1\vee} \in \tilde{U})$ . **Case (i)** Let  $\tilde{E} \subseteq X - \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{E} \subseteq X - \tilde{V}$ . Then  $\tilde{E} \subseteq (X - \tilde{U}) \cap (X - \tilde{V}) = X - (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V})$  which is contradiction to  $\tilde{E} \not\subseteq X - (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V})$ . **Case (ii)** Let  $\tilde{F} \subseteq X - \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{F} \subseteq X - \tilde{V}$ . This is similar to case (i). **Case (iii)** Let  $\tilde{E} \subseteq$  $X - \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{F} \subseteq X - \tilde{V}$ . Suppose there is a point p,  $(\tilde{p}_1 \in \tilde{U}, \tilde{p}_{1\vee} \in \tilde{V})$ . Since  $\tilde{E} \subseteq X - \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{F} \subseteq X - \tilde{V}, \ \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V} \subseteq \tilde{E} \cup \tilde{F} \subseteq (X - \tilde{U}) \cup (X - \tilde{V}) = X - (\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}).$  Therefore  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} \subseteq X - (\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V})$  $\tilde{V}$ ) = (X -  $\tilde{U}$ )  $\cup$  (X -  $\tilde{V}$ ). We have  $\tilde{p}_i \in \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{p}_{iV} \in \tilde{V} \Rightarrow \tilde{p}_{iV} \in \tilde{U} \Rightarrow \tilde{p}_{iV} \in \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V} \subseteq (X - \tilde{U}) \cap$  $(X - \tilde{V}) \Rightarrow \tilde{p}_{V} \in X - \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{p}_{V} \in X - \tilde{V}$  which is a contradiction. Similarly if there is a point Ñ we (ã E ĩv E  $\widetilde{U}$ ), get а contradiction. q, **Case** (iv) Let  $\tilde{E} \subseteq X - \tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{F} \subseteq X - \tilde{U}$ . This is similar to case (iii). Therefore from the above four cases  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>3</sub>- connected.

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  be any two IS of X. If  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are overlapping intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>4</sub>- connected, then  $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}$  is also an intuitionistic semi \* C<sub>4</sub>- connected.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to previous theorem.

### 4. INTUITIONISTIC SEMI \* COMPACT SPACES

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$  be a family of IS\*O sets of X, and let (X,  $\tau$ ) be an ITS. Then the collection  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$  is called an intuitionistic semi \* open cover (summarizing IS\*-OC) of X if  $\bigcup \tilde{\mathbb{D}} = \tilde{X}_I$ .

**Definition 4.2.** An ITS (X,  $\tau$ ) is said to be an intuitionistic semi \* compact (summarizing IS\*-cpt) if every IS\*-OC of X has a finite sub cover.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let (X, T) be an ITS. Then the following results hold.

- (i) Every IS\*-cpt implies intuitionistic compact.
- (ii) Every intuitionistic semi compact implies IS\*-cpt.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an IS\*-cpt and  $\{\widetilde{U}_{\alpha}\}$  be an intuitionistic open cover for X. Then  $\{\widetilde{U}_{\alpha}\}$  is an IS\*-OC for X. Since X is an IS\*-cpt,  $\{\widetilde{U}_{\alpha}\}$  has a finite subcover. Hence X is an intuitionistic compact. (ii) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an intuitionistic semi compact and  $\{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}$  be an IS\*-OC for X. Then  $\{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}$  is an intuitionistic semi open cover for X. Since X is an intuitionistic semi compact,  $\{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}$  has a finite subcover. Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is an IS\*-cpt.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS. Then  $(X, \tau)$  is IS\*-cpt if and only if every family of IS\*C sets in X with void intersection has a finite subfamily with void intersection.

**Proof.** Let (X, T) be an IS\*-cpt and  $\{\widetilde{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J}$  be a family of IS\*C sets in X such that  $\cap\{\widetilde{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J} = \widetilde{\emptyset}_{I}$ . Then  $\cup \{X - \widetilde{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J} = \widetilde{X}_{I}$  is an IS\*-OC for X. Since X is an IS\*-cpt, X has a finite subcover, namely  $\{X - \widetilde{U}_{\alpha 1}, X - \widetilde{U}_{\alpha 2}, ..., X - \widetilde{U}_{\alpha n}\}$  for X. Therefore  $\widetilde{X} = \bigcup_{i=1 \text{ to } n} \{X - \widetilde{U}_{\alpha i}\}$ . Thus  $\cap_{i=1 \text{ to } n} \{\widetilde{U}_{\alpha i}\} = \widetilde{\emptyset}_{I}$ . Conversely, assume that every family of IS\*C sets in (X, T) with empty intersection has a finite subfamily with void intersection. Let  $\{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J}$  be an IS\*-OC for (X, T). Then  $\cup \{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J} = \widetilde{X}_{I}$ . Therefore  $\{X - \widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J} = \widetilde{\emptyset}_{I}$ . Since  $X - \widetilde{D}_{\alpha}$  is IS\*C set for each  $\alpha \in J$ , by hypothesis there is a finite subfamily has a empty intersection. That is  $\cap_{i=1 \text{ to } n} (X - \widetilde{D}_{\alpha}) = \widetilde{\emptyset}_{I}$ . Then  $\cup_{i=1 \text{ to } n} \widetilde{D}_{\alpha} = \widetilde{X}_{I}$ . Hence (X, T) is an IS\*-cpt.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be any two ITS and  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an IS\*O function. If  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an IS\*-cpt, then  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an IS\*-cpt.

**Proof.** Let  $\{\tilde{F}_{\alpha}\}$  be an IS\*-OC for (X,  $\tau_1$ ). Then  $\{f(\tilde{F}_{\alpha})\}$  is an IS\*-OC for (Y,  $\tau_2$ ). Since (Y,  $\tau_2$ ) is an IS\*-cpt,  $\{f(\tilde{F}_{\alpha})\}$  has an finite subcover, namely  $\{f(\tilde{F}_{\alpha}), f(\tilde{F}_{\alpha}), ..., f(\tilde{F}_{\alpha})\}$ . Therefore  $\{\tilde{F}_{\alpha}, \tilde{F}_{\alpha}, ..., \tilde{F}_{\alpha}\}$  is a finite subcover for (X,  $\tau_1$ ). Hence (X,  $\tau_1$ ) is an IS\*-cpt.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be any two ITS and  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an IS\*O function. If  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an IS\*-cpt, then  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an intuitionistic compact.

**Proof.** Let  $\{\tilde{E}_{\alpha}\}$  be an intuitionistic open cover for  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Since f is an IS\*O and  $\{\tilde{E}_{\alpha}\}$  is an intuitionistic open cover for  $(Y, \tau_2)$ , {f  $(\tilde{E}_{\alpha})$ } is an IS\*-OC for  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an IS\*-compact, {f  $(\tilde{E}_{\alpha})$ } has an finite subcover, namely {f $(\tilde{E}_{\alpha})$ , f $(\tilde{E}_{\alpha})$ , ..., f $(\tilde{E}_{\alpha})$ }. Therefore { $\tilde{E}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{E}_{\alpha}$ , ...,  $\tilde{E}_{\alpha}$ } is a finite subcover for  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an intuitionistic compact.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be any two ITS and  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be a surjection and IS\*-Cts function. If  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an IS\*-cpt, then  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an intuitionistic compact.

**Proof.** Let  $\{\tilde{F}_{\alpha}\}\$  be an intuitionistic open cover for (Y,  $\tau_2$ ). Since f is an IS\*-Cts,  $\{f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha})\}\$  is an IS\*-OC for (X,  $\tau_1$ ). Since (X,  $\tau_1$ ) is an IS\*-cpt,  $\{f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha})\}\$  has finite subcover, namely

{ $f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha 1}), f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha 2}), ..., f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha n})$ }. Therefore { $\tilde{F}_{\alpha 1}, \tilde{F}_{\alpha 2}, ..., \tilde{F}_{\alpha n}$ } is a finite subcover for (Y, T<sub>2</sub>). Hence (Y, T<sub>2</sub>) is an intuitionistic compact.

**Definition 4.8.** An ITS (X,  $\tau$ ) is said to be an intuitionistic semi \* Lindelof (summarizing IS\*-L) if every IS\*-OC contains countable subcover.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an surjection, IS\*-Cts and  $(X, \tau_1)$  be an IS\*-L. Then  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an intuitionistic lindelof.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  be an IS\*-L and  $\{\tilde{F}_{\alpha}\}$  be an intuitionistic open cover for  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\{f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha})\}$  is an IS\*-OC for  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1)$  is IS\*-L,  $\{f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha})\}$  contains a countable subcover say,  $\{f^{-1}(\tilde{F}_{\alpha n})\}$ . Then  $\{\tilde{F}_{\alpha n}\}$  has a countable subcover for  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Thus  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an intuitionistic lindelof.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an surjection, IS\*-Irresolute and  $(X, \tau_1)$  be an IS\*-L. Then  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is an IS\*-L.

**Proof.** Let (X,  $\tau_1$ ) be an IS\*-L and { $\tilde{F}_{\alpha}$ } be an IS\*-OC for (Y,  $\tau_2$ ). Then { $f^{-1}$  ( $\tilde{F}_{\alpha}$ )} is an IS\*-OC for (X,  $\tau_1$ ). Since (X,  $\tau_1$ ) is IS\*-L, { $f^{-1}$  ( $\tilde{F}_{\alpha}$ )} contains a countable subcover say, { $f^{-1}$  ( $\tilde{F}_{\alpha n}$ )}. Then { $\tilde{F}_{\alpha n}$ } is a countable subcover for (Y,  $\tau_2$ ). Thus (Y,  $\tau_2$ ) is an IS\*-L.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an intuitionistic pre semi \* open and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be an IS\*-L. Then  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an IS\*-L.

**Proof.** Let  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be an IS \*-L and  $\{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}$  be an IS\*-OC for  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then  $\{f(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha})\}$  is an IS\*-OC for Y. Since  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is IS\*-L,  $\{f(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha})\}$  contains a countable subcover say,  $\{f(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha n})\}$ . Then  $\{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha n}\}$  is a countable subcover for  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Thus  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an IS\*-L.

**Theorem 4.12.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an IS\*O function and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be an IS\*-L. Then  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an intuitionistic lindelof.

**Proof.** Let  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be an IS \*-L and  $\{\widetilde{D}_{\alpha}\}$  be an intuitionistic open cover for  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then  $\{f(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha})\}$  is an IS\*-OC for  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is IS\*-L,  $\{f(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha})\}$  contains a countable subcover say,  $\{f(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha n})\}$ . Then  $\{f(\widetilde{D}_{\alpha n})\}$  is a countable subcover for  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Thus  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an intuitionistic lindelof.

### 5. CONCLUSION

The different qualities of intuitionistic semi \* connectedness and compactness are covered in this article. We will continue to investigate different concepts, such as maximal and minimal open sets, separation axioms in IS\*O sets.

#### 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My heartful thanks to my guide Dr. M. Navaneethakrishnan for his valuable guidance on writing this paper and thanks to the referees for their time and comments.

#### References

- 1. D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic topological space preliminary report, Akdeniz University, Turkey, 199
- 2. D. Coker, a Note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points, Turk. J. Math, 20(3), 1996, 343 351.
- 3. D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, Busefal, 81, 2000, 51 56.
- 4. D.Coker, Selma Ozcag on Connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy special topological spaces, International Journal of Math. And Math.Science, 21(1), (1998), 33-40.
- 5. GirijaS. Selvanayki S., Ilango Gnanambal. "Semi connected spaces in intuitionistic topological spaces", Malaya Journal of Matematik, 2020
- 6. K.T.Atanassov, VII ITKKR's Session, June 1983 (Soa) (V. Sgurev, ed.), Central Sci. and Techn. Library, Bulg. Academy of Scienes, 1984.
- 7. Rathinakani, G. Esther, and M. Navaneethakrishnan, "A NEW CLOSURE OPERATOR IN INTUITIONISTIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES."
- 8. Rathinakani, G. Esther, and M. Navaneethakrishnan, "A Study on Intuitionistic Semi \* Open Set." Design Engineering (2021): 5043-5049.
- 9. Rathinakani, G. Esther, and M. Navaneethakrishnan, "On Intuitionistic Semi \* Continuous Functions." Ratio Mathematica 44 (2022): 65.
- 10. Rathinakani, G. Esther, and M. Navaneethakrishnan, "Some New Operators on Intuitionistic Semi \* Open Set." Proceedings of ICCSMM-2022, Tiruchendur, Tamilnadu, India.
- 11. Sema Ozcag and Dogan Coker, a Note on Connectedness in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Special Topological Spaces, Internat. J. Math. And Math. Sci. Vol.23, No.1 (2000) 45-54.
- 12. Younis J. Yaseen and Asmaa G. Raouf (2009) "On generalization closed set and generalized continuity in intuitionistic topological sapce" University of Tirkit- College of Computer Science and Mathematics.